1 | 2 | L 3 | b 4 | L 5 | T 6 | s

The Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (Bureau) provides notice under Local Rule 83-1.3.1 that this action is related to the following civil action previously before this Court: *Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. Future Income Payments, LLC*, 8:17-cv-00303-JLS-SS, filed on February 21, 2017, and closed on May 17, 2017. The actions arise from the same or closely related transactions and would entail the substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.

## **Factual Statement**

This action and the previous related action involve the same entities and concern the same conduct. The previous action was a petition to enforce a civil investigative demand (CID) that the Bureau issued to Future Income Payments, LLC seeking information "to determine whether financial-services companies or other persons have engaged or are engaging in unlawful acts and practices in connection with offering or providing extensions of credit . . . related to transactions involving pensions, annuities, settlements, or other future-income streams in violation of §§ 1031 and 1036 of the Consumer Financial Protection Act of 2010 [CFPA], 12 U.S.C. §§ 5531, 5536, or any other Federal consumer-financial law." The CID sought information from Future Income Payments, LLC, formerly known as Pensions, Annuities, and Settlements, LLC, and any parent companies, wholly or partially owned subsidiaries, operations under assumed names, affiliates, officers, owners, and agents, among others.

The complaint in this action is against Future Income Payments, LLC, along with a variety of related entities that together form a common enterprise (FIP), and Scott Kohn. The complaint alleges that FIP offers consumers lump-sum payments in exchange for periodic payments from pensions or other future-income streams, and represents to consumers, among other things, that these lump-sum payments are not "loans," that there is no applicable interest rate, and that the cost of the lump-sum advance is less than that of potential alternative sources of funds, such as credit cards. The complaint further alleges that, in fact, FIP's product is a loan (an extension of credit), and is more costly

1	than alternative financial products to which FIP draws comparisons and that FIP	
2	misrepresents material aspects of their product in violation of the CFPA, 12 U.S.C. §	
3	5536(a)(1)(B), and other Federal consumer financial protection law.	
4		
5	Dated: September 13, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
6		/s/ Alanna B. Carbis
7		Alanna B. Carbis (CA Bar. No. 264066)
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		3